	Open Space Resource Types	e History /Condition	Trend (1=Increasing, 2=Stable, 3=Decreasing, 4=Decreasing Rapidly)	Private Ownership (1=0-25%, 2=25-50%, 3=50-75%, 4=75-100%)	Rarity (4=0-1%, 3=1-5%, 2=5-10%, 1=10+%)	Protection through other Programs (1=High/Effective, 2=High/Ineffective, 3=Some, 4=None)	Number of Sensitive Species (1=Low, 4=High)	Multiple Objectives Score (1=Low, 4=High)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Biological)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Other)	Priority (Sum of columns 4 10)	Average Priority (divided by 6 for Ag/Bio, 5 for rest)
A1	Agriculture  Valley intensive agriculture (rice, row crops, orchards)	Reduced in extent, constrained by water cost and availability	4	4	4	3	2	2	B4, B5	D1, E1, E3, F1	19	3.2
A2	Foothill intensive agriculture (orchards, specialty crops)	Highly reduced in extent, small parcels	2	4	4	3	1	2	В6	D1, E1, E2, E3	16	2.7
А3	Valley rangelands	Reduced in extent, affected by urban activities	4	4	2	3	3	4	B1, B3, B4, B5	C2, C3, D1, D2, E1,E2, E3, E4, F1	20	3.3
A4	Foothill rangelands	Reduced in extent, affected by urban activities	2	4	2	3	2	4	B6, B7, B8	C2, C3, D1, D2, E1,E2, E3, E4, F2	17	2.8
A5	Western Sierra timber operations	Stabilized, yet economic fluctuations affect income	2	2	1	3	3	2	B12, B13	C3, D1, D2, F2	13	2.2
B1	<b>Biological</b> Hardpan vernal pool grasslands	Fragmented, reduced in extent, often disced or disturbed	4	4	3	2	4	4	B3, B4, B5	A3, C1, C2, D2, D3, E1, E3, E4	21	3.5
B2	Mehrten vernal pool grasslands	Fragmented, highly reduced in extent	4	4	4	2	4	3	B3, B4	A3, C1, C2, D2, E1, E3, E4	21	3.5
ВЗ	Valley grasslands (non vernal pool)	Fragmented, native species replaced by non-native annual	4	4	2	3	2	4	B1, B2, B4, B5, B6, B7	A3, C1, C2, D2, D1, E1, E3, E4	19	3.2
B4	Valley riparian/aquatic	grasses Highly reduced in extent, quality; sedimentation,	3	4	3	2	4	4	B1, B2, B3, B5	A1, A3, C1, C2, D2, E3, F1	20	3.3
B5	Valley wetlands	increased flows Highly reduced in extent	4	4	4	2	3	3	B1, B3, B4	A1, A3, C1, C2, F1, D2	20	3.3

3-38

Table 3-5, Prioritization of Resource Types

	Open Space Resource Types	History /Condition	Trend (1=Increasing, 2=Stable, 3=Decreasing, 4=Decreasing Rapidly)	Private Ownership (1=0-25%, 2=25-50%, 3=50-75%, 4=75-100%)	Rarity (4=0-1%, 3=1-5%, 2=5-10%, 1=10+%)	Protection through other Programs (1=High/Effective, 2=High/Ineffective, 3=Some, 4=None)	Number of Sensitive Species (1=Low, 4=High)	Multiple Objectives Score (1=Low, 4=High)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Biological)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Other)	Priority (Sum of columns 4 10)	Average Priority (divided by 6 for Ag/Bio, 5 for rest)
B6	Foothill riparian/aquatic	Reduced in extent, modified hydrology, increased surface runoff	2	4	3	2	4	4	B7, B8, B9, B10, B11	A2, A4, C1, C2, D2, F1	19	3.2
B7	Blue oak woodland / savannah	Fragmented in areas, second growth, low recruitment	3	4	2	4	2	4	B7, B8, B9, B10, B11	A4, C1, C2, D1, D2, E1, E2, F2	19	3.2
B8	Interior/canyon live oak	Somewhat fragmented	2	2	2	4	2	4	B7, B9, B10, B11	A4, C1, C2, D2, E1, E2, F2	16	2.7
В9	Chamise chaparral	Fairly intact	2	2	3	4	2	3	B7, B8, B10, B11	C1, C2, D2, E1, E2, F2	16	2.7
B10	Black oak	Old-growth reduced in extent by logging	3	3	3	3	3	4	B7, B8, B9, B11, B12	A5, C3, D2, E1, E2 F2	19	3.2
B11	Major rivers (Bear and American)	Dammed along lower reaches	2	1	4	3	2	2		C3, C4, A4, D1, D2, E2, F1	14	2.3
B12	West slope conifers	Old-growth reduced in extent by logging	2	2	1	3	3	4	B10, B12, B14, B17, B18	A5, C3, D2, E1, E2, F2, F3	15	2.5
B13	Sagebrush / east slope conifers	Encroached upon by development	3	4	4	4	3	3	B12, B14, B15, B16	C3, D2, E1, E2, E3, F2	21	3.5
B14	Montane riparian/aquatic	Impacted by logging activities	3	2	4	2	4	2	B12, B13, B15, B16	C3, D2, F1	17	2.8
B15	Montane wet meadows	Impacted by logging activities, encroached upon by development	2	3	4	2	4	3	B12, B13, B15, B16	C3, D2, E2, F1	18	3.0
B16	Lake Tahoe	Continued eutrophication	3	1	4	2	2	3		C3, C4, D1, D2, E1, E2, F1, F3	15	2.5
C1	Outdoor Recreation Environmental education	Current opportunities few but increasing	1	2	4	3	N/A	4	B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7	C2, D1, D2, E3, E4, F1	14	2.8
C2	Passive recreation in valley/foothill region (hiking, biking, horseback riding)	Space decreasing while demand increasing	3	4	4	3	N/A	4	B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7, B8, B9, B10, B11	,	18	3.6

3-39 Table 3-5, Prioritization of Resource Types

	Open Space Resource Types	History /Condition	Trend (1=Increasing, 2=Stable, 3=Decreasing, 4=Decreasing Rapidly)	Private Ownership (1=0-25%, 2=25-50%, 3=50-75%, 4=75-100%)	Rarity (4=0-1%, 3=1-5%, 2=5-10%, 1=10+%)	Protection through other Programs (1=High/Effective, 2=High/Ineffective, 3=Some, 4=None)	Number of Sensitive Species (1=Low, 4=High)	Multiple Objectives Score (1=Low, 4=High)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Biological)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Other)	Priority (Sum of columns 4 10)	Average Priority (divided by 6 for Ag/Bio, 5 for rest)
C3	Back-country passive recreation	Demand increasing	3	2	2	2	N/A	3	B11, B12, B13, B14, B15, B16	D1, D2, E2, F2	12	2.4
C4	River and lake recreation (boating, rafting, swimming)	Demand increasing	3	1	2	2	N/A	2	B11, B16	D1, D2, E2, F1	10	2.0
D1	Cultural Resources Historic landmarks, buildings and roads	Replaced by new development, suffering from lack of maintenance	3	3	4	3	N/A	4	B3, B7, B11, B16	A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, C1, C2, C3, C4, E1, E3, E4, F1, F2	17	3.4
D2	Native American artifacts, petroglyphs and paleontological sites	Not well known	3	2	4	2	N/A	4	All	C1, C2, C3, C4, F1	15	3.0
E1	Scenic Resources / Urban Separators Scenic transportation corridors	Encroached upon by development	4	2	2	4	N/A	4	All	A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, C1, C4, D1, D2, E1, E3, E4, F2, F3	16	3.2
E2	Scenic vista points	Encroached upon by development	3	2	4	4	N/A	4	Most	A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, C1, C2, C3, D1, D2, E1, E3, E4, F2	17	3.4
<b>E</b> 3	Community separators / greenbelts	Disappearing quickly	4	4	4	4	N/A	4	Valley/Foothill Regions	A1, A2, A3, A4, C1, C2, D1, D2, E1, E2, F1	20	4.0
E4	Buffers between agricultural and urban activities	Disappearing quickly	4	4	4	3	N/A	4	Valley/Foothill Regions	A1, A2, A3, A4, C1, C2, D1, D2, E1, E2	19	3.8

**Public Safety** 

3-40 Table 3-5, Prioritization of Resource Types

	Open Space Resource History /Condition Types		Trend (1=Increasing, 2=Stable, 3=Decreasing, 4=Decreasing Rapidly)	Private Ownership (1=0-25%, 2=25-50%, 3=50-75%, 4=75-100%)	Rarity (4=0-1%, 3=1-5%, 2=5-10%, 1=10+%)	Protection through other Programs (1=High/Effective, 2=High/Ineffective, 3=Some, 4=None)	Number of Sensitive Species (1=Low, 4=High)	Multiple Objectives Score (1=Low, 4=High)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Biological)	Potential Multiple Objectives (Other)	Priority (Sum of columns 4 10)	Average Priority (divided by 6 for Ag/Bio, 5 for rest)
F1	Floodplains	Encroached upon, though technically protected	3	2	N/A	1	3	3	B4, B5, B6	A1, A3, C1, C2, D1, D2, E3	12	2.4
F2	High fire hazard areas	Increased interface with rural residential development	3	2	N/A	2	2	3	B7, B8, B9, B10, B12, B13	A4, A5, C3, C4	12	2.4
F3	Avalanche zones	Increased interface with resort development	2	2	N/A	1	1	1	B12	E2, F2	7	1.4

3-41 Table 3-5, Prioritization of Resource Types